

# Migration: One year later KVM Forum 2011

Red Hat Juan Quintela August 15, 2011

#### Abstract

This talk describes current migration status, and ideas for future work.



#### Contents



#### 2 Things to do





## Section 1 What is the Current State



#### What needs to be moved

memory

Have I told you that memory nowadays is big? Customer asking already for 8GB guests. Partners for 64-128GB guests.

disk

And you thought that memory was big. Think again.

devices

Size don't matter here (insert joke)

But state is spread through a file, not always in a nice place that is trivial to sent.



#### What needs to be moved

memory

Have I told you that memory nowadays is big? Customer asking already for 8GB guests. Partners for 64-128GB guests.

■ disk

And you thought that memory was big. Think again.

devices

Size don't matter here (insert joke) But state is spread through a file, not always in a nice place that is trivial to sent.



#### What needs to be moved

memory

Have I told you that memory nowadays is big? Customer asking already for 8GB guests. Partners for 64-128GB guests.

■ disk

And you thought that memory was big. Think again.

devices

Size don't matter here (insert joke)

But state is spread through a file, not always in a nice place that is trivial to sent.



## Backward/Forward compatibility

- Old to Old and New to New Should be no problem (ha).
- $\blacksquare \mathsf{Old} \to \mathsf{New}$
- We are in the future, we know what Old sent, should be easy. (famous last words).
- New  $\rightarrow$  Old
  - We are the future, wanting to sent something to the past, and we want the past to understand it. Think NP-complete. But we try, of course.



## Backward/Forward compatibility

- Old to Old and New to New Should be no problem (ha).
- ${}^{\bullet} \ \mathsf{Old} \to \mathsf{New}$

We are in the future, we know what Old sent, should be easy. (famous last words).

• New  $\rightarrow$  Old

We are the future, wanting to sent something to the past, and we want the past to understand it. Think NP-complete. But we try, of course.



## Backward/Forward compatibility

- Old to Old and New to New Should be no problem (ha).
- $\blacksquare \mathsf{Old} \to \mathsf{New}$

We are in the future, we know what Old sent, should be easy. (famous last words).

• New  $\rightarrow$  Old

We are the future, wanting to sent something to the past, and we want the past to understand it. Think NP-complete. But we try, of course.



#### Sections, Subsections, Versions

A.K.A. Head hurts ...

- Sections: each device has one.
- Subsections: They are optional. Source decides if they are needed or not.
- Version: Each section has a section number. When we add some fields to a section, we increase the version number, and they are not expected from older versions, but are sent from new versions.



#### Sections, Subsections, Versions

A.K.A. Head hurts ...

- Sections: each device has one.
- Subsections: They are optional. Source decides if they are needed or not.
- Version: Each section has a section number. When we add some fields to a section, we increase the version number, and they are not expected from older versions, but are sent from new versions.



#### Sections, Subsections, Versions

A.K.A. Head hurts ...

- Sections: each device has one.
- Subsections: They are optional. Source decides if they are needed or not.
- Version: Each section has a section number. When we add some fields to a section, we increase the version number, and they are not expected from older versions, but are sent from new versions.



#### Cure cancer

- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?

We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed

Sent rest of information only when it is used

Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed

l'arget névér discards à subsection.

If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ...
- Big idea: Why sent everything?

We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed

Sent rest of information only when it is used

Source-sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed

iaiget lievel uistaitts a subsection.

If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?

We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed. Sent rest of information only when it is used. Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed. Target never discards a subsection. If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?
  - We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed
  - Sent rest of information only when it is used
  - Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed
  - Target never discards a subsection.
    If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?
  - We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed
  - Sent rest of information only when it is used
  - Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed
  - Target never discards a subsection.
    If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?
  - We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed
  - Sent rest of information only when it is used
  - Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed
  - Target never discards a subsection.
    If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?
  - We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed
  - Sent rest of information only when it is used
  - Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed
  - Target never discards a subsection.
    If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



- Cure cancer
- Get World Peace
- End World Hunger, ....
- Big idea: Why sent everything?
  - We can sent only minimal amount of information that is always needed
  - Sent rest of information only when it is used
  - Source sent a subsection when it knows that it is needed
  - Target never discards a subsection.
    If it don't understand it, it just fails migration.



#### Memory migration

- it is big
- when we fail: memory corruption
- crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big when we fail, disk corruption data loss MBL and to known shout disk
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



#### Memory migration

- it is big
- when we fail: memory corruption
- crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big when we fails disk comption data loss Will not talk more about diskas
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big when we fail: disk comption data loss Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big when we fail: disk comption data loss Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big
  - when we fail: disk corruption
  - data loss
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big
  - when we fail: disk corruption
  - data loss
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big
  - when we fail: disk corruption
  - data loss
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big
  - when we fail: disk corruption
  - data loss
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big
  - when we fail: disk corruption
  - data loss
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- Memory migration
  - it is big
  - when we fail: memory corruption
  - crash of the machine
- Disk migration
  - you thought memory was big
  - when we fail: disk corruption
  - data loss
  - Will not talk more about disk
- From a 10000 meters view, memory and disk migration are equivalent



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - Austage 1 Bustage 2 Custage 3 cancel/enory-stage -1 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1 B: stage 2 C: stage 3 cancel/enory-stage -1 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - Austage 1 Bustage 2 Custage 3 cancel/enory-stage -1 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - Austage 3 Bustage 2 Custage 3 cancel/environstage 3 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - Al-stage 1 BL stage 2 CL stage 3 cancel/enory-stage -1 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1 B: stage 2 C: stage 3 cancel/errors-stage -1 Don't you like the meaning overload


- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - Austage 1 Bustage 2 Custage 3 cancel/errorustage -1 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)

Stages? What is that?

B. stage 2 C. stage 3 cancel/error: stage=1 Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1
  - B: stage 2
  - C: stage 3
  - cancel/error: stage -1
    - Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1
  - B: stage 2
  - C: stage 3
  - cancel/error: stage -1
    - Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1
  - B: stage 2
  - C: stage 3
  - cancel/error: stage -1
  - Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1
  - B: stage 2
  - C: stage 3
  - cancel/error: stage -1
    - Don't you like the meaning overload



- We have a dirty bitmap with one bit for each page
- We set all the bitmap to "dirty" (A)
- We loop through the bitmap: (B)
  - copy the page
  - clear the bit
- We end the loop when the number of dirty pages is "low enough" (B)
- We stop the machine (C)
- We sent the rest of the pages and all devices (C)
- Stages? What is that?
  - A: stage 1
  - B: stage 2
  - C: stage 3
  - cancel/error: stage -1
    - Don't you like the meaning overload



### How qemu works?

A.K.A. Why we need threads for migration

IOthread

```
while(1) {
    while(1) {
        ....
        qemu_mutex_unlock_iothread();
        select(...)
        qemu_mutex_lock_iothread();
        .... /* We will refer to this part on the next slide */
}
```

#### VCPU's

```
int kvm_cpu_exec(...)
{
    do {
        remu_mutex_unlock_iothread();
        kvm_vcpu_ioctl(..)
        gemu_mutex_lock_iothread()
        remu_mutex_lock_iothread()
```



### How qemu works?

A.K.A. Why we need threads for migration

IOthread

```
while(1) {
    while(1) {
        ....
        qemu_mutex_unlock_iothread();
        select(...)
        qemu_mutex_lock_iothread();
        .... /* We will refer to this part on the next slide */
}
```

VCPU's

```
int kvm_cpu_exec(...)
{
    ...
    do {
        ...
        qemu_mutex_unlock_iothread();
        kvm_vcpu_ioctl(..)
        qemu_mutex_lock_iothread()
        ....
```



#### What else iothread does?

```
...
QLST_FOREACH_SAFE(ioh, &io_handlers, next, pioh) {
    if (...FD_JSSET(ioh->fd, readfs),...)
        ioh->fd_read(ioh->opaque)
    if (...FD_JSSET(ioh->fd, readfs),...)
        ioh->fd_write(ioh->opaque)
    qemu_run_all_timers()
    qemu_bh_poll()
```



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because iohandlers run very fast vepu threads are out of guest very few times Rest of things cheat

migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat
    - block layer: async 10 networking: whost -t async 10
  - migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat

networking: vhost + async 10

migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat

migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat
    - block layer: async IO
    - networking: vhost + async IO
  - migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat
    - block layer: async IO
      - networking: vhost + async IO
  - migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat
    - block layer: async IO
    - networking: vhost + async IO
  - migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Don't this mean that things get "monothread"
- In general no, because
  - iohandlers run very fast
  - vcpu threads are out of guest very few times
  - Rest of things cheat
    - block layer: async IO
    - networking: vhost + async IO
  - migration: where the abstraction leaks



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



- Migration runs in an IOHandler
- But it can't stop in the middle of a device
- We add an autogrowing buffer to be able to always finish device state write
- And we write with a timer that buffer to a FILE \*
- We wait with select in the FILE \* descriptor
- We write it with write()
- And Kernel wants to do its own buffering
- Enough buffering for you?



#### We have two knobs

- migrate\_speed: in MB
- Yes, I mean that, we measure speed in Megabytes, think about it.
- max\_downtime: in ms
- And we try to make sense of them.
- When migration don't converge, we don't know for how much



- We have two knobs
  - migrate\_speed: in MB
     Yes, I mean that, we measure speed in Megabytes, think about it.
  - max\_downtime: in ms
- And we try to make sense of them.
- When migration don't converge, we don't know for how much



- We have two knobs
  - migrate\_speed: in MB
     Yes, I mean that, we measure speed in Megabytes, think about it.
  - max\_downtime: in ms
- And we try to make sense of them.
- When migration don't converge, we don't know for how much



- We have two knobs
  - migrate\_speed: in MB
     Yes, I mean that, we measure speed in Megabytes, think about it.
  - max\_downtime: in ms
- And we try to make sense of them.
- When migration don't converge, we don't know for how much



- We have two knobs
  - migrate\_speed: in MB
     Yes, I mean that, we measure speed in Megabytes, think about it.
  - max\_downtime: in ms
- And we try to make sense of them.
- When migration don't converge, we don't know for how much



#### Remember the buffered file

- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()</pre>
```

What can be wrong with this?

We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
</pre>
```

What can be wrong with this?

We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
}</pre>
```

• What can be wrong with this?

We are measuring how fast we can write to a FiLE \* buffer We don't measure how big/fast/looded is the network We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
}</pre>
```

- What can be wrong with this?
  - We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer
  - We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network
  - We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page
  - If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
}</pre>
```

- What can be wrong with this?
  - We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer
  - We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network.
  - We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page
  - If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them


## migration speed

- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
}</pre>
```

- What can be wrong with this?
  - We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer
  - We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network
  - We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page
     If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



## migration speed

- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
}</pre>
```

- What can be wrong with this?
  - We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer
  - We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network
  - We have a **nice** optimization that sent a byte for each page
  - If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



## migration speed

- Remember the buffered file
- Remember that we measure speed in megabytes?
- migration handler interesting part is:

```
while (number_bytes_sent < max_speed) {
    sent_another_page()
}</pre>
```

- What can be wrong with this?
  - We are measuring how fast we can write to a FILE \* buffer
  - We don't measure how big/fast/loaded is the network
  - We have a nice optimization that sent a byte for each page
  - If we have lots of blank pages we spent a lot of time to sent them



- We don't have toplevel
- Libvirt/user can't ask anything
- Everything had to be configured from the command line
- Cancellation can only happen on the outgoing migration side



- We don't have toplevel
- Libvirt/user can't ask anything
- Everything had to be configured from the command line
- Cancellation can only happen on the outgoing migration side



- We don't have toplevel
- Libvirt/user can't ask anything
- Everything had to be configured from the command line
- Cancellation can only happen on the outgoing migration side



- We don't have toplevel
- Libvirt/user can't ask anything
- Everything had to be configured from the command line
- Cancellation can only happen on the outgoing migration side



# Section 2 Things to do



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:

```
bitields fields
size differences between vmstate and state
other misc things
```



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:

```
bitfields fields
size differences between vmstate and state
other misc things
```



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:

ontrients ments size differences between vinstate and stateother miss things



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:
  - bitfields fields
  - size differences between vmstate and state
  - other misc things



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:
  - bitfields fields
  - size differences between vmstate and state
  - other misc things



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:
  - bitfields fields
  - size differences between vmstate and state
  - other misc things



- Virtio devices: old code exists. Problem is that we have list of requests, and we have no good idea how to represent lists on VMState.
- Rest of CPU's: no real problem, just code that needs to be written. (sections are quite big).
- slirp: eats puppies. Slirp code is a mess, It is lists of lists of lists. Code needs fixing independently of VMState.
- Rest of misc devices: Ugliness:
  - bitfields fields
  - size differences between vmstate and state
  - other misc things



- Detection of subsection is wrong, only looks at the 1st byte
- Needs to look at the whole header, and see if len + name makes sense
- It requires the equivalent of ungetc() to work for 10-20 chars.
   And it has to work in the middle of two packets.
- Needs to be done, details and testing are the problem.
- mail with suggestions sent to qemu-devel@.



- Detection of subsection is wrong, only looks at the 1st byte
- Needs to look at the whole header, and see if len + name makes sense
- It requires the equivalent of ungetc() to work for 10-20 chars.
   And it has to work in the middle of two packets.
- Needs to be done, details and testing are the problem.
- mail with suggestions sent to qemu-devel@.



- Detection of subsection is wrong, only looks at the 1st byte
- Needs to look at the whole header, and see if len + name makes sense
- It requires the equivalent of ungetc() to work for 10-20 chars.
   And it has to work in the middle of two packets.
- Needs to be done, details and testing are the problem.
- mail with suggestions sent to qemu-devel@.



- Detection of subsection is wrong, only looks at the 1st byte
- Needs to look at the whole header, and see if len + name makes sense
- It requires the equivalent of ungetc() to work for 10-20 chars.
   And it has to work in the middle of two packets.
- Needs to be done, details and testing are the problem.
- mail with suggestions sent to qemu-devel@.



- Detection of subsection is wrong, only looks at the 1st byte
- Needs to look at the whole header, and see if len + name makes sense
- It requires the equivalent of ungetc() to work for 10-20 chars.
   And it has to work in the middle of two packets.
- Needs to be done, details and testing are the problem.
- mail with suggestions sent to qemu-devel@.



- stalls on the vcpu/iohandler: gone
- buffered file: gone
- we can now measure better what is the speed that we are sending/receiving
- saturate networking: we are our own thread, blocking is ok
- Problem: how to handle dirty bitmap



- stalls on the vcpu/iohandler: gone
- buffered file: gone
- we can now measure better what is the speed that we are sending/receiving
- saturate networking: we are our own thread, blocking is ok
- Problem: how to handle dirty bitmap



- stalls on the vcpu/iohandler: gone
- buffered file: gone
- we can now measure better what is the speed that we are sending/receiving
- saturate networking: we are our own thread, blocking is ok
- Problem: how to handle dirty bitmap



- stalls on the vcpu/iohandler: gone
- buffered file: gone
- we can now measure better what is the speed that we are sending/receiving
- saturate networking: we are our own thread, blocking is ok
- Problem: how to handle dirty bitmap



- stalls on the vcpu/iohandler: gone
- buffered file: gone
- we can now measure better what is the speed that we are sending/receiving
- saturate networking: we are our own thread, blocking is ok
- Problem: how to handle dirty bitmap



## **Incoming Migration Thread**

- we can have a toplevel back on incoming side
- Everything can works as usual, from the monitor
- IOThread is running, we can use it



## **Incoming Migration Thread**

- we can have a toplevel back on incoming side
- Everything can works as usual, from the monitor
- IOThread is running, we can use it.



## **Incoming Migration Thread**

- we can have a toplevel back on incoming side
- Everything can works as usual, from the monitor
- IOThread is running, we can use it



#### A.K.A. What is that?

- Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
- move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
- who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
- who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
- add avi, shake well, and .... idea
- use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
- this makes it almost thread safe by design



- A.K.A. What is that?
  - Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
  - move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
  - who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
  - who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
  - add avi, shake well, and .... idea
  - use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
  - this makes it almost thread safe by design



- A.K.A. What is that?
  - Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
  - move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
  - who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
  - who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
  - add avi, shake well, and .... idea
  - use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
  - this makes it almost thread safe by design



- A.K.A. What is that?
  - Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
  - move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
  - who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
  - who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
  - add avi, shake well, and .... idea
  - use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
  - this makes it almost thread safe by design



- A.K.A. What is that?
  - Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
  - move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
  - who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
  - who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
  - add avi, shake well, and .... idea
  - use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
  - this makes it almost thread safe by design



- A.K.A. What is that?
  - Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
  - move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
  - who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
  - who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
  - add avi, shake well, and .... idea
  - use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
  - this makes it almost thread safe by design



- A.K.A. What is that?
  - Dirty bitmap has 8 bits for each page. CODE, VGA, MIGRATION
  - move to 3 bitmaps: 70 percent size reduction
  - who produces dirty pages: kvm, mmio
  - who consumes dirty pages: vga, code, migration
  - add avi, shake well, and .... idea
  - use one bitmap for producer, and consumer syncs bitmaps each time it needs it
  - this makes it almost thread safe by design



# Dirty Bitmap II

#### A.K.A. More size reduction

- We have a ram list of ramblocks
- And a dirty bitmap from address 0 to max allocated address
- So, we have bitmap for holes (not needed)
- solution: move bitmap to ramblock instead of ramlist
- but you need to fix all exec.c users (TCG a.k.a. ugly)
- Why all operations are on guest addresses instead of ramblocks


- We have a ram list of ramblocks
- And a dirty bitmap from address 0 to max allocated address
- So, we have bitmap for holes (not needed)
- solution: move bitmap to ramblock instead of ramlist
- but you need to fix all exec.c users (TCG a.k.a. ugly)
- Why all operations are on guest addresses instead of ramblocks



- We have a ram list of ramblocks
- And a dirty bitmap from address 0 to max allocated address
- So, we have bitmap for holes (not needed)
- solution: move bitmap to ramblock instead of ramlist
- but you need to fix all exec.c users (TCG a.k.a. ugly)
- Why all operations are on guest addresses instead of ramblocks



- We have a ram list of ramblocks
- And a dirty bitmap from address 0 to max allocated address
- So, we have bitmap for holes (not needed)
- solution: move bitmap to ramblock instead of ramlist
- but you need to fix all exec.c users (TCG a.k.a. ugly)
- Why all operations are on guest addresses instead of ramblocks



- We have a ram list of ramblocks
- And a dirty bitmap from address 0 to max allocated address
- So, we have bitmap for holes (not needed)
- solution: move bitmap to ramblock instead of ramlist
- but you need to fix all exec.c users (TCG a.k.a. ugly)
- Why all operations are on guest addresses instead of ramblocks



- We have a ram list of ramblocks
- And a dirty bitmap from address 0 to max allocated address
- So, we have bitmap for holes (not needed)
- solution: move bitmap to ramblock instead of ramlist
- but you need to fix all exec.c users (TCG a.k.a. ugly)
- Why all operations are on guest addresses instead of ramblocks



- Since Fortran60 everybody knows that you need a begin/end to mark zones/sections
- Qemu hasn't learned it, so there is no way to handle the format from the outside gemu
- Solution start/end markers + size
- checksums: cpu is cheap



- Since Fortran60 everybody knows that you need a begin/end to mark zones/sections
- Qemu hasn't learned it, so there is no way to handle the format from the outside qemu
- Solution start/end markers + size
- checksums: cpu is cheap.



- Since Fortran60 everybody knows that you need a begin/end to mark zones/sections
- Qemu hasn't learned it, so there is no way to handle the format from the outside qemu
- Solution start/end markers + size
- checksums: cpu is cheap



- Since Fortran60 everybody knows that you need a begin/end to mark zones/sections
- Qemu hasn't learned it, so there is no way to handle the format from the outside qemu
- Solution start/end markers + size
- checksums: cpu is cheap



- -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
- but it uses the versions of v0.15.
- We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
- or without features foo+bar
- And then we can use that for migration.
- People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
- And get it working.
- Almost nobody care about backward migration
- But big cpu farms custormes care



- A.K.A. Qdev is incomplete
  - $\,$  -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
  - but it uses the versions of v0.15.
  - We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
  - or without features foo+bar
  - And then we can use that for migration.
  - People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
  - And get it working.
  - Almost nobody care about backward migration
  - But big cpu farms custormes care



- A.K.A. Qdev is incomplete
  - $\,$  -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
  - but it uses the versions of v0.15.
  - We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
  - or without features foo+bar
  - And then we can use that for migration.
  - People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
  - And get it working.
  - Almost nobody care about backward migration
  - But big cpu farms custormes care



- A.K.A. Qdev is incomplete
  - $\,$  -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
  - but it uses the versions of v0.15.
  - We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
  - or without features foo+bar
  - And then we can use that for migration.
  - People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
  - And get it working.
  - Almost nobody care about backward migration
  - But big cpu farms custormes care



- A.K.A. Qdev is incomplete
  - $\,$  -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
  - but it uses the versions of v0.15.
  - We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
  - or without features foo+bar
  - And then we can use that for migration.
  - People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
  - And get it working.
  - Almost nobody care about backward migration
  - But big cpu farms custormes care



- $\,$  -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
- but it uses the versions of v0.15.
- We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
- or without features foo+bar
- And then we can use that for migration.
- People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
- And get it working.
- Almost nobody care about backward migration
- But big cpu farms custormes care



- -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
- but it uses the versions of v0.15.
- We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
- or without features foo+bar
- And then we can use that for migration.
- People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
- And get it working.
- Almost nobody care about backward migration
- But big cpu farms custormes care



- -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
- but it uses the versions of v0.15.
- We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
- or without features foo+bar
- And then we can use that for migration.
- People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
- And get it working.
- Almost nobody care about backward migration
- But big cpu farms custormes care



- $\,$  -M pc-0.14 lies, and uses the same devices that v14
- but it uses the versions of v0.15.
- We need a way to tell a device: boot with version foo
- or without features foo+bar
- And then we can use that for migration.
- People continue asking that we fix that at migration level, but solution needs to be at qdev level. Otherwise, we are trying to boot a device with feature foo, and now magically, migration have to migration without feature foo.
- And get it working.
- Almost nobody care about backward migration
- But big cpu farms custormes care



# Section 3 Some solutions



- Suggestion: move to ASN.1
- Suggestion: move to XML
- That helps describing the data in the wire, but helps with the other problems how?



- Suggestion: move to ASN.1
- Suggestion: move to XML
- That helps describing the data in the wire, but helps with the other problems how?



· . . . . .

- Suggestion: move to ASN.1
- Suggestion: move to XML
- That helps describing the data in the wire, but helps with the other problems how?



· . . . . .

- Suggestion: move to ASN.1
- Suggestion: move to XML
- That helps describing the data in the wire, but helps with the other problems how?



#### All that needs to be changed is

```
static void put_int32(QEMUFile *f, void *pv, size_t size)
{
    int32_t *v = pv;
    qemu_put_sbe32s(f, v);
}
```

```
static void put_xml_int32(QEMUFNe *f, void *pv, size_t size)
{
    int32_t *v = pv;
    printf("<value type=int32>%d </value>",*v);
}
```



#### All that needs to be changed is

```
static void put_int32(QEMUFile *f, void *pv, size_t size)
{
    int32_t *v = pv;
    qemu_put_sbe32s(f, v);
}
```

```
static void put_xml_int32(QEMUFile *f, void *pv, size_t size)
{
    int32_t *v = pv;
    printf("<value type=int32>% d </value>",*v);
}
```



## One device gets split in 2 devices

A.K.A. Anthony, I am looking at you

```
struct OldState {
    int foo;
    int bar;
}
struct FooState {
    int foo;
}
struct BarState {
    int bar;
}
```



## One device gets split in 2 devices (II)

```
struct OldState {
    int foo;
    int bar;
    struct FooState *foo;
}
struct FooState {
    int foo;
}
```



## One device gets split in 2 devices (III)

```
static int old_state_post_load(void *opaque, int version_id)
    OldState *s = opaque:
    s \rightarrow foo \rightarrow foo = s \rightarrow foo;
    return 0:
static const VMStateDescription vmstate_foo = {
    .name = "old_state"
    .post_load = old_state_post_load,
                 = (VMStateField []) {
    . fields
        VMSTATEINT32(foo, OldState),
        VMSTATEINT32(bar, OldState),
        VMSTATE_END_OF_LIST()
```



#### Networking vs CPU/RAM

- we have a new failure case
- but .... we only have to copy each page only once
- guest performance varies
- should be possible to do using current infrastructure



- Networking vs CPU/RAM
- we have a new failure case
- but .... we only have to copy each page only once
- guest performance varies
- should be possible to do using current infrastructure



- Networking vs CPU/RAM
- we have a new failure case
- but .... we only have to copy each page only once
- guest performance varies
- should be possible to do using current infrastructure



- Networking vs CPU/RAM
- we have a new failure case
- but .... we only have to copy each page only once
- guest performance varies
- should be possible to do using current infrastructure



- Networking vs CPU/RAM
- we have a new failure case
- but .... we only have to copy each page only once
- guest performance varies
- should be possible to do using current infrastructure



# Conclusions

#### A.K.A. There is no conclusion of migration issues

- VMState: this needs to be finish
- On wire protocol: being/end/size/checksum?
- Migration thread: Umesh code good start
- Bitmap handling: something more reasonable
- measurements: we need more and better
- Post copy?



# Conclusions

A.K.A. There is no conclusion of migration issues

- VMState: this needs to be finish
- On wire protocol: being/end/size/checksum?
- Migration thread: Umesh code good start
- Bitmap handling: something more reasonable
- measurements: we need more and better
- Post copy?



# Conclusions

A.K.A. There is no conclusion of migration issues

- VMState: this needs to be finish
- On wire protocol: being/end/size/checksum?
- Migration thread: Umesh code good start
- Bitmap handling: something more reasonable
- measurements: we need more and better
- Post copy?


### Conclusions

A.K.A. There is no conclusion of migration issues

- VMState: this needs to be finish
- On wire protocol: being/end/size/checksum?
- Migration thread: Umesh code good start
- Bitmap handling: something more reasonable
- measurements: we need more and better
- Post copy?



### Conclusions

A.K.A. There is no conclusion of migration issues

- VMState: this needs to be finish
- On wire protocol: being/end/size/checksum?
- Migration thread: Umesh code good start
- Bitmap handling: something more reasonable
- measurements: we need more and better
- Post copy?



### Conclusions

A.K.A. There is no conclusion of migration issues

- VMState: this needs to be finish
- On wire protocol: being/end/size/checksum?
- Migration thread: Umesh code good start
- Bitmap handling: something more reasonable
- measurements: we need more and better
- Post copy?





## **Questions**?



# The end.

Thanks for listening.